```
1
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
2
                      NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
 3
   VIDEO GAMING TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
 4
 5
                   Plaintiff,
 6
                                         ) CASE NO. 17-CV-454-GKF-JFJ
   VS.
7
    CASTLE HILL STUDIOS, LLC., et al., )
 8
                    Defendants.
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
                        TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
                              MARCH 19, 2019
16
       BEFORE THE HONORABLE GREGORY K. FRIZZELL, JUDGE PRESIDING
17
                              STATUS HEARING
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

U.S. District Court Northern District of Oklahoma

1	APPEARANCES
2	(All Telephonic)
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: MR. PETER SWANSON MR. GARY M. RUBMAN
4	Covington & Burling, LLP One City Center
-	850 Tenth Street, NW
5	Washington, D.C. 20001-4956
6	FOR THE DEFENDANTS:  MR. ROBERT COURTNEY GILL  MR. HENRY A. PLATT
7	MR. MATTHEW J. ANTONELLI MR. JEREMY B. DARLING
8	Saul Ewing Arnstein
9	& Lehr LLP 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
10	Suite 550 Washington, D.C. 20006
11	MR. JAMES COLLIN HODGES James C. Hodges, PC
12	2622 E. 21st Street
13	Suite 4 Tulsa, OK 74114
14	MR. DUANE H. ZOBRIST
15	MR. JONATHAN SPENCER JACOBS Zobrist Law Group PLLC
16	1900 Arlington Blvd. Suite B
	Charlottesville, VA 22905
17	*****
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

U.S. District Court Northern District of Oklahoma

```
1
    PROCEEDINGS:
 2
 3
             THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: This is case number 17-CV-
 4
    454-GKF-JFJ, Video Gaming Technologies, Inc. vs. Castle Hill
    Studios, LLC, et al.
 5
 6
        Counsel, please state your appearances.
 7
             MR. SWANSON: This is Peter Swanson from Covington and
    Burling on behalf of the plaintiff, Video Gaming Technologies,
 8
    Inc. Also with me is Mr. Rubman from Covington and Burling.
 9
    Mr. Luthey was unable to join today and sends his apologies for
10
    that; he's in a trial this morning.
11
             THE COURT: Thank you.
12
       And for defendants?
13
14
             MR. GILL: This is Robert Gill from Saul Ewing
   Arnstein and Lehr on behalf of the defendants. And also
15
16
    present on the call are Henry Platt, Matt Antonelli and Jeremy
17
    Darling from my firm, as well as our local counsel Jim Hodges
18
    and Jonathan Jacobs and Duane Zobrist from the Zobrist Law
19
    Firm.
20
             THE COURT: Very good. I understand that our dates
    contained in the fourth amended scheduling order have raised
21
22
    some difficulties with some of your clients. Is that correct?
23
             MR. SWANSON: This is Mr. Swanson. That's correct,
    Your Honor.
24
25
             MR. GILL: And, Judge, just so you're aware, -- this
```

## Video Gaming v Castle Hill (03-19-2019 Status Hearing)

1 is Robert Gill -- Mr. Swanson and I have engaged in some 2 dialogue about this issue over the last few weeks. He called 3 me to alert me to this issue I think toward the end of the same week that we had our last conference with you where you set 4 these deadlines and explained to me that they had not only some 5 6 issues with some VGT representatives of the plaintiff but also 7 with one of the lawyers on their team, as well, --THE COURT: All right. 8 MR. GILL: -- and I told him in that call -- or, first 9 of all, we understood we were all kind of setting these 10 deadlines based on memory and we were, frankly, a little 11 furtunate on our side to have avoided any hard problems on our 12 13 end but that we were absolutely willing to work with them to 14 try to accommodate those issues, and we had discussion about 15 other times to potentially move our trial in this case, but 16 obviously that discussion couldn't be complete without 17 involving you because we know that you've got a calendar that 18 includes many other cases, as well. So, that was the lead-up 19 to this morning's call. 20 THE COURT: All right. Well, thank you. So, the 21 bottom line, have you all agreed on a trial date that would 22 work for both the lawyers and the witnesses and the client 23 representatives? 24 MR. SWANSON: This is Mr. Swanson. I'm happy to

> U.S. District Court Northern District of Oklahoma

address that first. So, we spoke to Mr. Gill about whether

25

1 some time in September would work, mid September, specifically 2 starting on September 9. I think we said the trial would last 3 approximately two weeks, so the week of the 9th and the week of the 16th. And it looks like that date would work except for 4 another matter that Mr. Gill has but that he, I think, expects 5 6 will be rescheduled. It turns out that I guess Mr. Gill won't 7 know for sure if that matter is rescheduled for another two to three weeks and, for that reason, we didn't want to wait that 8 long to bring this issue to Your Honor's attention and, of 9 course, we don't know if those dates would work with the 10 11 court. 12 MR. GILL: Your Honor, this is Bob Gill. Mr. Swanson 13 is correct, we did have that dialogue. I have a case that 14 involves a lot of parties that is scheduled for both pretrial 15 proceedings and trial, so we have a week of pretrial --16 pretrial conference and motions in limine and other hearings 17 that are scheduled to consume the week of September 16 18 currently, and the trial in that matter is scheduled to begin 19 on October 7 and to conclude at the end of the week of October 20 28. 21 But Mr. Swanson is also correct that we had a hearing in 22 that case in early February and we had a lot of motions that 23 were addressed by the judge in that case, and a number of us raised with him the scheduling order in that case because the 24 25 plaintiff -- these were basically motions to dismiss,

1 jurisdictional motions, and we all questioned whether or not 2 the plaintiff would have an opportunity to amend their 3 complaint based on the scheduling, and the judge said on the record that we shouldn't be concerned about the schedule in 4 that case because he fully expected that the existing 5 6 scheduling order would be vacated and that we would need to 7 provide a new one. And so after the conversations I had with Mr. Swanson, I 8 communicated with my fellow defense counsel in that Virginia 9 10 case and explained to them that I wanted to file a motion to vacate the existing scheduling order consistent with the 11 12 judge's comments from our February 7th hearing. Nobody that --13 and I wrote to all defense counsel -- nobody objected, those 14 that responded agreed, but I'm limited, I can't file the 15 motion. I have, I quess, conditional agreement because I have 16 agreement to file but not until April 1 based on a deadline the 17 plaintiff has in my case. But I do -- I'm very optimistic that 18 the judge is going to address this thing fairly promptly and 19 enter an order vacating that existing scheduling order and 20 those deadlines. THE COURT: Let me see if I can short-circuit this. 21 22 The Tenth Circuit -- and this is somewhat unfortunate for those 23 judges who have children, it's reflective of the graying of the federal judiciary, but in part because the costs of judicial 24

conferences are lowered if the circuits have conferences after

25

## Video Gaming v Castle Hill (03-19-2019 Status Hearing)

1 vacation season has ended, the Tenth Circuit, over the last, I 2 don't know, 10 years, has moved its judicial conferences until 3 after September 1st, and September 9th is the first day of the judicial -- Tenth Circuit judicial conference, I am told. 4 And I thought it was the week before, Karen, but you're 5 6 telling me it's the 9th of September? 7 THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Correct. It's on the calendar. 8 THE COURT: And I missed it last year, and 9 unfortunately to go truly helps a federal judge for a lot of 10 different reasons; it kind of brings you up to speed with some 11 12 of the trends and it's an opportunity to discuss matters with 13 fellow judges. And it's my intention, and I've informed my 14 wife, who is the ultimate authority, that we're going, and she expects us to go. So, September 9th would be a difficult date 15 16 for me. 17 My typical trial weeks are the third and fourth weeks of 18 the month. So it would appear that September 9th would be 19 difficult. Do you all have any alternate dates? Have I lost 20 you? MR. SWANSON: Your Honor, this is Mr. Swanson. 21 22 don't know that we have any other specific dates. I think on 23 our end, starting the following week, September 16th, could work, but I'm not sure for Mr. Gill if that date works. 24 25 it might have been a conflict with an expert. I can't

## Video Gaming v Castle Hill (03-19-2019 Status Hearing)

1 recall. 2 MR. GILL: Judge, this is Bob Gill. I could -- I 3 think we could make the trial beginning on September 16 work, but I have two caveats with that. Mr. Swanson is correct, I do 4 have a conflict with my damages expert who is otherwise 5 6 committed the week of September 23, and assuming the court 7 could accommodate that conflict --THE COURT: I will -- I will definitely do that. 8 MR. GILL: All right. Then I think we could work 9 around my expert conflict and make trial beginning on September 10 11 16 work. 12 The only other caveat being that I do need to literally 13 go through the motions of getting my Virginia case moved that 14 is scheduled for those pretrial proceedings that currently 15 begin and consume the entire week of September 16 as well as 16 the month of October. So, like I said, I've taken steps to try 17 to go ahead and be able to do that but I haven't been able to 18 get those papers filed yet based on the agreement of other 19 defense counsel and will not be able to do that for another 10 20 days or thereabouts. 21 THE COURT: All right. Well, I can certainly work 22 with you there. Now, I know we'll -- do I understand 23 correctly, if you get this moved, you will not have a trial in early October then? 24 25 MR. GILL: That's correct, Judge.

## Video Gaming v Castle Hill (03-19-2019 Status Hearing)

1	THE COURT: All right. Because obviously if we went
2	two weeks beginning on September I'm told that trial week
3	begins on the 16th; is that correct? Is that the Monday?
4	THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Yes.
5	THE COURT: If we went for two weeks, obviously that
6	would jam you up for an October trial.
7	MR. GILL: Right.
8	THE COURT: All right. Well, let's tentatively do
9	that. Before we enter a fifth amended scheduling order, I
10	would like to have some confirmation that those dates will
11	work.
12	But assuming that will work for two weeks beginning
13	September 16th, Karen, can you give us some lead-up dates
14	tentatively?
15	THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Yes. We could set the
16	pretrial conference on September 3rd at 1:30. And the let
17	me see here we need to reset the hearing on the motions in
18	limine?
19	THE COURT: Yes.
20	THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: We have one set for April
21	11th right now.
22	THE COURT: I think so.
23	THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Okay. We could set that for
24	May
25	THE COURT: No, let's go further. I mean, our trial

```
isn't until September, so perhaps sometime in July.
 1
 2
             THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Okay. July 9th?
 3
             THE COURT: July 9 for motions in limine.
             THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Okay. And that's a hearing
 4
 5
    on that.
 6
             THE COURT: Yeah, and I see here we had motions in
 7
    limine -- hearing on motions in limine before dispositive
   motions.
 8
             THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Correct.
 9
             THE COURT: Are we expecting -- we do know that there
10
    are some motions in limine that bear upon the motions for
11
12
    summary judgment, correct, so that's why we did that? All
13
    right.
14
             MR. SWANSON: That is correct, Judge.
15
             THE COURT: All right. So, can we set dispositive
16
    motions -- and I'm just thinking aloud, this is not set in
17
    stone -- but would early August be good for hearing on
18
    dispositive motions or would you prefer those to be resolved
19
    earlier?
20
             MR. GILL: Well, -- Judge, this is Bob Gill -- would
21
    it be possible -- because currently we have April 11 is the
22
    date for the hearing on motions in limine, and May 6th as the
23
    deadline for the -- or the hearing date for dispositive
24
    motions.
25
             THE COURT: Right.
```

1	MR. GILL: Would it be possible to keep those
2	deadlines?
3	THE COURT: Hold on just one second.
4	(PAUSE)
5	THE COURT: All right. It would be the court's
6	preference to set the hearing on motions in limine on the 6th
7	of May when we have the hearing on dispositive motions set and
8	then to find a date in June to set the hearing on dispositive
9	motions.
10	Karen, do we have a date that looks available?
11	THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: We could do June 11th.
12	THE COURT: How does June 11th look on your schedules,
13	Mr. Gill, Mr. Swanson?
14	MR. SWANSON: Let me just take a quick look, Your
15	Honor.
16	THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Counsel, remember to identify
17	yourselves when you speak, please.
18	MR. GILL: This is Bob Gill. I could do June 11th.
19	THE COURT: All right. What day of the week is that,
20	Karen?
21	THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: That's a Tuesday.
22	THE COURT: All right.
23	THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: And we could do a 1:30.
24	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Swanson?
25	MR. SWANSON: Yes, Your Honor, I believe that works.

```
1
    I was just trying to confirm with my colleagues, but, yes, June
 2
    11th would work for us.
 3
             THE COURT: All right. Let's do that. All right.
                                                                  My
    senior law clerk just breathed a huge sigh of relief,
 4
    gentlemen, so thank you for that.
 5
 6
        All right. What else can we do today?
 7
             MR. GILL: This is Bob Gill once more. The only other
    thing that, if I could, if I could ask the court to tweak a
 8
   bit, is -- and I understand -- I think the next action item is
 9
10
    probably to have me get back in touch with counsel for
    plaintiff and the court with respect to the status of my
11
12
    Virginia trial, --
13
             THE COURT: Yes, sir.
14
             MR. GILL: -- which I hope to be able to do in the
15
    next two weeks. But we also, in terms of the existing
16
    scheduling order, we had deadlines for deposition and
17
    interrogatory designations and then counter-designations were
18
    due a week later, and I was wondering if we might be able to
19
    persuade Your Honor to tweak that a bit so that the parties
20
    have more than just a week in order to be able to provide
21
    counter-designations.
22
             THE COURT: I have no problem with that. And we could
23
    also move that back, as well, work it back a month. But you --
24
    how much time do you need between designations and counter-
25
    designations?
```

```
1
             MR. GILL:
                        Given the volume involved in this case, I
2
    think it might be helpful to have that be a three-week period
 3
    rather than just one.
 4
             THE COURT: Yeah, that's my thought, as well.
                                                            So,
    let's do that. So, is June 18th good with everyone?
 5
 6
             MR. SWANSON: This is Mr. Swanson. That's fine with
 7
    us, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: I'm just wondering --
 8
 9
             MR. GILL:
                        This is Bob Gill, and that would be fine,
10
    Judge.
11
             THE COURT: Well, now I'm wondering about the effect
    of rulings on dispositive motions, and even if I'm able to rule
12
    from the bench on June 11th. I mean, my quess is in this case
13
14
    it's going to require writing, and designations are going to --
15
    may well be cut down based upon rulings on dispositive motions,
16
    potentially, so I'm wondering whether or not --
17
                       Yes, sir. This is Bob Gill. I totally
             MR. GILL:
18
    agree with you.
19
             THE COURT: So, give me just one second here.
20
        (PAUSE)
21
             THE COURT: All right. My thought is we would move
22
    the designations probably to the week after July 4th and then
2.3
    give three weeks for counter-designations.
        Karen, do you have a date around July 11th there?
24
25
             THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK:
                                      Yes. July 11th is a
```

1	Thursday.
2	THE COURT: All right. Let's say July 11th for
3	designations. And then three weeks after that?
4	THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: August 1st.
5	THE COURT: All right. I think that works better.
6	And then in terms of transcripts and briefs on unusual
7	objections, we gave about a week then. We probably ought to
8	give two weeks.
9	Karen,
10	THE DEPUTY COURT CLERK: August 15th.
11	THE COURT: All right. So, number 12 would be August
12	15th. And then we'll change the dates for pretrial and agreed
13	proposed pretrial order, etc.
14	And this is let me just confirm this is a nonjury
15	trial?
16	MR. GILL: Yes, sir.
17	MR. SWANSON: That's correct.
18	THE COURT: All right. Well, what else
19	can we accomplish here?
20	MR. SWANSON: That's everything that we have, Your
21	Honor.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Gill, you're going to let us know by
23	what date?
24	MR. GILL: Yes, sir, I'm going to file my motion in my
25	Virginia case on the first available date, which is I believe

1	April 1 or 2, to vacate that existing scheduling order. And
2	I'll call chambers of the judge in that case, as well, to let
3	him know what's going on and explain what's going on in this
4	case. And based on my limited experience with him, I expect
5	that he will address this pretty promptly. So, I would hope to
6	then have some sort of ruling from him within the first 10 days
7	of April.
8	THE COURT: All right. So, if I heard you correctly,
9	then we'll have a deadline of, what, April 5th? Would that be
10	good?
11	MR. GILL: April 5th may not give me quite enough
12	time.
13	THE COURT: All right.
14	MR. GILL: Let me look at my calendar here. Could we
15	do the 10th?
16	THE COURT: That would be fine.
17	MR. GILL: All right.
18	THE COURT: All right. So we'll
19	MR. GILL: And I will let the court and the plaintiff
20	know by the 10th what the status is of that Virginia case.
21	THE COURT: Very good. Anything else, Mr. Swanson?
22	MR. SWANSON: Nothing from me, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Mr. Gill?
24	MR. GILL: Nothing further, Judge.
25	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, gentlemen.

1	MR. GILL: Thank you very much.
2	(PROCEEDINGS CLOSED)
3	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION
4	I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND CORRECT
5	TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.
6	CERTIFIED: s/Greg Bloxom
7	Greg Bloxom, RMR, CRR United States Court Reporter
8	333 W. 4th Street, RM 411 Tulsa, OK 74103
9	(918)699-4878 greg_bloxom@oknd.uscourts.gov
10	g = 0 <u>g</u> 0 _ 0 _ 0 _ 0 _ 0 _ 0 _ 0 _ 0 _ 0 _
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	